## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DEBORAH HOGAN,

CV 07-555-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

KATHY CALVIN-SMITH, SHARON DARCY, PATHFINDERS, INC., K. JESKE, NICOLE BROWN, GINGER MARTIN, and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

## BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and
Recommendation (#51) on December 12, 2007, in which he
recommended this Court grant in part and deny in part Plaintiff's
Motion to Strike (#41); grant Defendants Calvin-Smith, Darcy, and
Pathfinders' Motion for Summary Judgment (#22) as to each of
Plaintiff's claims; and grant Defendants Jeske, Brown, and
Martin's (State Defendants) Motion for Summary Judgment (#28) as
to each of Plaintiff's claims. The matter is now before this

1 - ORDER

Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, this Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record de novo. Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). See also Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, the Court does not find any error.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#51). Accordingly, the Court GRANTS in part and DENIES in part Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (#41) as set out in the Findings and Recommendation; GRANTS Defendants Calvin-Smith, Darcy, and Pathfinders' Motion for Summary Judgment (#22) as to each of Plaintiff's claims; and GRANTS State Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#28) as to each of Plaintiff's claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2008.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN United States District Judge